

should be noted that although these claims are readable upon Species III, many of the same claims are also readable upon other Species identified by the Examiner. By way of example only, although independent claims 39 and 47 are not generic to all Species, claims 39 and 47 are also readable upon Species I, IV, VI, VII, and VIII. As another example, although independent claim 55 is not generic to all Species, claim 55 is also readable upon Species I, IV, V, VI, VII and VIII of the present application. Still other claims of the elected Species III are also readable upon Species I, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII of the present application.

The Applicant also notes that Species VIII, IX, and X identified by the Examiner are different views of the same embodiment of the present invention (see page 6, lines 3-6 of the present application as originally filed). Although no single figure shows all of the features and elements illustrated in this embodiment, figures 16-18 all illustrate different combinations of parts of the same system. The optional elevating structure shown in Figure 17 is removed from Figures 16 and 18 for clarity. Similarly, the concrete and concrete form shown in Figures 16 and 17 are removed from Figure 18 to provide a better view of the structure within the concrete and concrete form.

The Applicant kindly requests that the Examiner telephone the attorneys of record in the event a telephone discussion would be helpful in advancing the prosecution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,



Christopher B. Austin  
Reg. No. 41,592

Michael Best & Friedrich LLP  
100 East Wisconsin Avenue  
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-4108  
(414) 271-6560